

Santa Ana College

Planning & Budget Meeting

April 14, 2015



SAC Planning and Budget Committee April 14, 2015 1:30p.m. - 3:00 p.m. S-215

THE PLANNING AND BUDGET COMMITTEE is the participatory governance committee responsible for recommending budget priorities, procedures, and processes to the College Council. The Planning and Budget Committee also functions as a community liaison for fiscal affairs with the college community. Santa Ana College Participatory Governance Structure Handbook

(May 8, 2013)

Αc	gen	ıda
\mathcal{L}	101	ıuu

1. Welcome and Introductions

2. Public Comments

3. Approval of Minutes for March 3, 2015 ACTION

4. Budget Update INFORMATION

5. Enrollment Update INFORMATION

6. Student Update INFORMATION

7. SACTAC INFORMATION

8. Marketing Update INFORMATION

9. Old Business INFORMATION

ASG Student Survey

10. New Business INFORMATION

RARs Preliminary Prioritization

• Quarterly Budget Performance Report

11. Future Agenda Items

12. Other Business

Next Meeting - May 5, 2015

The mission of Santa Ana College is to be a leader and partner in meeting the intellectual, cultural, technological and workforce development needs of our diverse community. Santa Ana College provides access and equity in a dynamic learning environment that prepares students for transfer, careers and lifelong intellectual pursuits in a global community.

SAC PLANNING & BUDGET MEETING MINUTES – MARCH 3, 2015 SAC FOUNDATION BOARD ROOM 1:30P.M. – 3:00P.M.

The mission of Santa Ana College is to be a leader and partner in meeting the intellectual, cultural, technological and workforce development needs of our diverse community. Santa Ana College provides access and equity in a dynamic learning environment that prepares students for transfer, careers and lifelong intellectual pursuits in a global community.

	<u> </u>			1			
Administrators		Academic Senate CLASSIFIED			GUESTS		
Mike Collins, co-chair	Ray Hicks		Monica Porter	Tom Andrews	Esmeralda Ab	oejar	
Jim Kennedy	Pat Mans	field	John Zarske	Denise Hatakeyama			
Lilia Tanakeyowma(a)				Jimmy Nguyen			
Omar Torres		ent Rep.		Leslie Wood-Rogers			
	Briana Br	ennan(a)				1	
1. WELCOME						Meeting 1:31p.m	g called to order n.
2. PUBLIC COMMENTS	5	DISCUSSI	ON/COMMENTS			ACTI	IONS/ FOLLOW UPS
		There were	no public comments.				
3. MINUTES			ON/COMMENTS				IONS/ FOLLOW UPS
		The Februar	ry 3, 2015 Planning ar	nd Budget minutes were presen	ited for approval.	<u>ACTION</u>	
						Motion was moved by P. Mansfield	
						to approve the February 3, 2015 Planning & Budget Committee	
						minutes.	
						2 nd – J. N	
							were approved with two
						abstentic	
4. BUDGET UPDATE		DISCUSSI	ON/ COMMENTS				IONS/ FOLLOW UPS
		<u>State</u>					
		 According 	to the February Depart	artment of Finance (DOF) <i>Finai</i>	nce Bulletin,		
		General Fund revenues for January 2015 are tracking well ahead of Governor					
		Jerry Bro	wn's State Budget pro	jections, with total tax collection	ns coming in		
			• • •	%, or \$527 million. Rememberi	•		
	16 Governor's State Budget revised the current-year revenue forecast up by						
	\$2.6 billion, revenues for the first seven months of the fiscal year are matching						
				•			
				eceipts running \$472 million, or	0.8%, anead of		
			rnor's State Budget es	timate.			
		Growth	140	and the Paristance Paris			
			•	nost indicators predict lower en			
			•	onal college-age population is	•		
decline over the next five years, and the LAO acknowledges the reality that,							

BUDGET UPDATE(cont.)	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	as the economy improves, the demand for a community college education	
	declines on the natural.	
	Of most immediate concern for CCCs, the LAO analyzes the new enrollment	
	growth allocation to be implemented for 2015-16. The LAO notes that a trial	
	run of the allocation shows gaps between need and demand and is aligned	
	poorly in some districts. The LAO acknowledges that the allocation would likely	
	lead to extra growth in districts that have had lower college participation, and	
	presumes that the Legislature and Governor intend for these districts to	
	stimulate enrollment demand.	
	• The LAO suggests the Legislature require the Chancellor's Office, by May 1, to	
	develop one or more alternative growth allocation models that "better balance need, capacity, and demand" and to consult with the Department of Finance,	
	legislative staff, and other stakeholders in drafting the alternative models. The	
	LAO also recommends a phase-in approach to smooth the transition to a new	
	funding model.	
	More than half of districts in the state are not meeting the current-year target	
	of 2.75% growth, the LAO recommends the Legislature wait until the May	
	Revision for updated attendance reports to determine a growth rate for 2015-	
	16.	
	 Categorical Programs The LAO noted the rapid increase in Student Success and Support Program 	
	(SSSP) funds, from \$49 million to \$269 million in a matter of years. Preferring a	
	broader approach, the LAO continues to recommend a CCC Student Support	
	Block Grant that would encompass SSSP, Extended Opportunity Programs and	
	Services, Financial Aid Administration, and four other smaller categorical	
	programs.	
	More at May Revision	
	• Finally, the LAO's analysis found \$170 million in unallocated Proposition 98	
	funds in the Governor's proposal. The Administration indicates these funds will be designated at the May Revision. These topics and more will be debated as	
	the Legislature begins to dig into the CCC proposals for 2015-16. Stay tuned.	
	 DISTRICT District is telling the FRC that much of the revenue that the Gov. has put out 	
	there in his original proposal is being swept away. Built budget assumptions in	
	a mostly "best case" scenario.	
	Difficult to truly understand how the State budget will impact us at this point,	
	so far it is all talk from the Gov. and no hard funding that has been put	
	forward. In the Dist Budget Assumptions that were sent out to this committee	
	for review, it truly is a guesstimate on revenue but the expenditure side of	
	the assumptions are pretty solid.	
	• Dist fiscal and the Chancellor have grave concerns related to the ability of our district to control our structural deficit (Dist states now at approx \$ 8 million)	
	with the state mandate to bring on 34 new faculty district wide.	
	The Chancellor has required the colleges to make cuts in order to fully fund the	

new faculty for FY 14/15 and FY 15/16to the tune of \$2.8 million for SAC. • It is true that the budget stabilization fund is dwindling quickly with pressure from a bunch of different sources, and Fiscal expects the BSF to exhausted by FY 15/16 year end. • Also included in the assumptions is a \$432k increase for district public saf personnel, which the District has committed to funding by making cutsj like our college has been mandated to fully fund the cost of the new facuration This was put forward in FRC and committed to by the district when Fapproved the Dist FY 15/16 budget assumptions. • District is committing to 1% growth, with a possible ceiling of 2% for our DISTRICT SAC will do this by: • SAC will do this by: • Utilizing existing Faculty funded vacancies to fund new faculty • Moving approx. \$640k from the GF into categorical funds on-going • Defunding \$1.2 million of funded classified vacancies that are on the books.	
Biggest concern is meeting the \$2.8 million in faculty costs for the FY 15 budget • SAC will do this by: • Utilizing existing Faculty funded vacancies to fund new faculty • Moving approx. \$640k from the GF into categorical funds on-going • Defunding \$1.2 million of funded classified vacancies that are on the books	e Cy St V. C
 Defunding \$248,813 in management positions For you scoring at home, we are still short approx\$674,406 These ongoing cuts will need to be made in the FY 15/16 budget SAC is also expected to fully fund our PT accounts, including overload a intersession costs, in accordance with our instructional schedule. Productional efficiency in our schedule has never been more important that right now. Our budget is performing well so far in the FY 14/15, total SAC budget is un budget by 2% We are cautiously estimating ending our fiscal year with a \$1 million end balance- keep you updated as we move forward We now start to build the FY 15/16 budget, with the holes to fill and unclear revenue picture from the State. Due May 8th. We will stand by with crossed fingers for the May Revise (mid-May)! the CCC 	d cy er g
proposals for 2015-16. Stay tuned. 5. ENROLLMENT MANAGEMENT DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	
Jim Kennedy provided a brief update to the membership. • Enrollment is at 5,434 FTEs (credit). o About even with last year. o Goal for spring is 7,000 FTEs o The difference gets made up through the CJ and Fire Academies. o Need about 1,600 more from the Academies in order to hit our target. • We are up about 60 FTEs in non-credit. • We are projecting 1% growth, which is below what we would like to be at. • Still need to continue all efforts to drive FTEs • Budget model requires we get funded based on the FTEs we earn. ENROL. MANAGEMENT (cont.) DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	

8. MARKETING UPDATE	prioritized by SACTAC for 14/15. DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS The following information was noted: • SAC website has been updated with a focus on the late start classes being offered. • Email communication has gone out to the students letting them know of our late start 8 week class schedule and the opportunity to enroll. • A flyer has also been developed which will be passed on to students through the faculty to promote enrollment.	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
8. MARKETING UPDATE	prioritized by SACTAC for 14/15.	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
O MARKETINO LIBERTE	prioritized by SACTAC for 14/15.	AOTIONO / FOLLOW/ URG
1	Members were reminded of the process for mediation and technology needs as	
	 The following was reported: Work continues on classroom mediation. The goal is to mediate 25 additional classrooms. Committee working on the Santa Ana College Technology plan for 2015-2017. Developing goals for the plan. One goal is to have every room on campus mediated. Committee also working with the district to transform the Santa Ana College website by making it more mobile friendly. There was an initial consideration to remove the mobile site however students are accessing it. Once the site has been transformed the 3rd party solution will be removed making the entire website accessible. 	
7. SACTAC	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	No student update.	
6. STUDENT UPDATE	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	
	 Growth along with enhancements will help us get in line with our budget model. Specifically getting in line with the budget model means our expenditures meet our revenue Discussion ensued regarding Enrollment Management Plan. Predictors and trend analysis will be included as part of the revisions and building of the enrollment management plan. The college is working with Pam Deegan who is an enrollment management consultant. She will be meeting with the department chairs, deans and academic senate leadership. Some areas are working at a lower rate of efficiency than others. There may be consideration to move resources from lower efficiency areas to higher efficiency areas to help boost enrollment. Department chairs need to be heavily involved the Enrollment Management Plan as they are planning the schedule. 	

9. OLD BUSINESS	 Plans to develop a marketing brochure that will capitalize on all the unique opportunities offered at SAC. A baccalaureate degree trifle brochure is being developed to advertise this opportunity. Advertising a yearlong schedule. Focusing on what SAC offers that other colleges and 4 yr. universities do not. The UPSNAP social media application is now directly linking to the Great Weeks information. This is a more focused strategy than just to the SAC website. It was noted that outlining the cost savings between SAC and a 4yr. university may be a good marketing tool. DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS 	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
10. NEW BUSINESS	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	SAC Tentative Draft Budget Assumptions for FY 15/16 were reviewed by the membership and presented for approval.	ACTION Motion was moved by P. Mansfield to approve the SAC Tentative Budget Assumption for FY 15/16 2 nd – J. Nguyen Motioned passed unanimously
11. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
12. OTHER BUSINESS	DISCUSSION/ COMMENTS	ACTIONS/ FOLLOW UPS
	 Concerns were shared regarding the changes to the District Safety job descriptions. Fiscal impact on colleges? 	
	 The process did not go through the college committees. Job descriptions were not negotiated with CSEA. Discussion took place with the Chancellor regarding the mandated costs. Change in job descriptions could lead to increased costs. Chancellor agreed to pay the difference. Members were advised that Alistair Winter, Interim Director of Safety and Security will be invited to College Council to provide a clearer understanding of the changes ahead. 	
	 Job descriptions were not negotiated with CSEA. Discussion took place with the Chancellor regarding the mandated costs. Change in job descriptions could lead to increased costs. Chancellor agreed to pay the difference. Members were advised that Alistair Winter, Interim Director of Safety and Security will be invited to College Council to provide a clearer understanding of the 	

through intersession is expensive, we need the FTEs.

- o Intersession in summer most expensive, however still receive more revenue than what we expend.
- ■There was an inquiry as to what types of cuts were being proposed.
- The chancellor has not elaborated on cuts.
- Rumors of possible RIFs for 15/16.
- Members were advised that everything is on the table at this time.
- ■There was a concern brought forward regarding financial aid and the lag time for students to acquire their disbursements and the effect it has on their instruction.
- **■**Enrollment Management committee

There was discussion regarding a former enrollment management committee and the possible reestablishment as the college moves forward.

- Past committee documentation not available.
- The former committee did not focus solely on scheduling but researched strategies that kept students on campus.
- Faculty has not been a part of the enrollment management discussion.
- This committee allowed for comprehensive dialogue across campus.

It was noted that initially it was thought the Academic Affairs Advisory Council met that need however the council is limited to the deans and lead faculty coordinators. The desire is to make the opportunity available to anyone who is interested.

• Initial steps will be taken to speak to the Senate regarding reinstating the committee sometime in the fall.

Adjourned – 3:01p.m. Next Meeting Tuesday, April 14, 2015 1:30p.m. – 3:00p.m. S-215 Submitted by G. Lusk